

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,055	12/19/2001	Ali Muhtaroglu	219.40784X00 (ATSK)	9820	
20457 75	90 08/27/2003				
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, JIMMY		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				Or-			
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	217			
		10/021,055	MUHTAROGLU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jimmy Nguyen	2829				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	; <b></b>			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  lays will be considered timely, in the mailing date of this communication (S.C. § 133).	cation			
1)⊡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 E	December 2001 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)∑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)[-	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🗹	6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-15,18-22 and 27-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[	7) Claim(s) <u>3-5,16,17 and 23-26</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
7—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		<b>3</b>			
14)∐ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment	r(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2829

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1 ,2, 6 –11, 13 –15, 18- 20, 30 – 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimada et al (US 5050190).

As to claims 1, 14, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) an on-die device comprising:

a control unit (9) to provide differential reference signals; and a first detector unit (33) to receive said differential reference signals and to provide a first signal indicative of a differential voltage fluctuation at a first component.

As to claims 2, 6, 7, 15, 18, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) the device of claim 1, wherein said control unit (9) comprises a voltage generator to receive a first reference voltage signal (25) and a second reference signal (26, this signal receives the power supply from he analog device 15 and 12, column 6 line 60 - 65) from a source external or internal (10) to said die, said voltage generator (9) to provide a control voltage reference signal and further most of the digital control circuit will provide the digital interface.

Art Unit: 2829

As to claims 8, 19, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) differential reference signals comprise differential current signals.

As to claims 9, 20, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) first detector unit (33) receives a monitored first signal (Vth1) from said first component on said die and receives a monitored second signal (Vth 2) from said first or the second component on said die.

As to claims 10, 11, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) first detector unit (33) includes a differential droop sensing mechanism (20, 21) to compare a magnitude of differential fluctuations between said monitored first signal and said monitored second signal with a reference value based on said differential reference signals.

As to claim 13, Shimada et al disclose (fig 1) an on-die device to determine voltage fluctuations, said device to compare differential reference signals and monitored voltage signals at a first area of said die.

Art Unit: 2829

As to claims 30 – 39, In *In re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 1326 USPQ 136, 138 (Fed. Cir. 1986) it was held that: "Under the principles of Inherency, if a structure in the prior art necessarily functions in accordance with the limitations of a process or method claim of an application, the claim is anticipated." The court added, however, that: "This is not to say that the discovery of a new use for an old structure based on unknown properties of the structure might not be patentable to the discoverer as a process. *In re Hack*, 245 F.2d 246, 248, 114 USPQ 161, 163 (CCPA 1957)."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 21, 22, 27 - 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al (US 5050190).

As to claims 12 and 21, 22, 27 –29, Shimada et al (US 5050190) discloses a control unit (9) to provide differential reference signals; and a first detector unit (33) to receive said differential reference signals and to provide a first signal indicative of a differential voltage fluctuation at a first

Art Unit: 2829

component. However, the prior arts of record are silent on second detector unit. However, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an additional and identical detector for the purpose of detecting signal from more die since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Page 5

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3 – 5, 16, 17, 23 –26, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior arts of record do not disclose a programmable voltage-to-current converter to receive said control voltage reference signal and to provide said differential reference signals based at least on said control voltage reference signal.

Art Unit: 2829

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. August 20, 2003 M